

1  
2  
3 STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,  
4  
5 Plaintiff,

6 v.  
7

8 BRIAN ROSS ARONSON, et. al.,  
9 Defendants.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

Case Nos. [20-cv-07866-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-07867-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-07868-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-07963-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-07964-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-07965-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-07966-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-07968-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-07971-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08002-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08004-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08005-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08006-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08007-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08008-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08024-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08025-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08026-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08220-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08221-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08222-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08223-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08224-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-08225-PJH](#)

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 **ORDER DISMISSING MULTIPLE  
CASES WITH PREJUDICE**

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, has filed multiple pro se civil rights complaints under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is a condemned prisoner who also has a pending federal habeas petition in this court with appointed counsel. See *Bonilla v. Ayers*, Case No. 08-0471 YGR. Plaintiff is also represented by counsel in state court habeas proceedings. See *In re Bonilla*, Case No. 20-2986 PJH, Docket No. 1 at 7.

In these civil rights cases plaintiff presents nearly identical complaints and claims. He seeks relief regarding his underlying conviction or how his various pro se habeas petitions and other cases were handled by the state and federal courts.

To the extent that plaintiff seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) in these cases, he has been disqualified from proceeding IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless he is “under imminent danger of serious physical injury” at the time he filed his complaint. 28 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, Case No. 11-3180 CW; *Bonilla v. Dawson*, Case No. 13-0951 CW.

The allegations in these complaints do not show that plaintiff was in imminent danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed IFP. Moreover, even if an IFP application were granted, his lawsuits would be barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994), *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37, 43-54 (1971), *Demos v. U.S. District Court*, 925 F.2d 1160, 1161-62 (9th Cir. 1991) or *Mullis v. U.S. Bankruptcy Court*, 828 F.2d 1385, 1393 (9th Cir. 1987). Accordingly, the cases are dismissed with prejudice.

The clerk shall terminate all pending motions and close these cases. The clerk shall return, without filing, any further documents plaintiff submits in these closed cases.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 1, 2020

/s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton

**PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON**  
United States District Judge